

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,107	01/28/2004	Han Ki Cho	9988.099.00-US	9988.099.00-US 9733	
30827	7590 11/03/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LONG & ALDRIDGE	HANSEN, JAM	HANSEN, JAMES OR VILLE		
1900 K STREI WASHINGTO	EI, NW DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, 20 2000		3637		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/03/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/765,107	CHO, HAN KI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James O. Hansen	3637		

	James O. Hansen	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			110 133403 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):;		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		il be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	ut hoforo or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•		•
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
because: See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		. 1
13. Some Continuation Sheet.	,	James O.	Hansun
		James O. Hansen Primary Examiner	

Primary Exam Art Unit: 3637

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered but is deemed non-persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art to Sill is non-analogous in the application as put forth by the Office. The examiner submits the following: a reference is reasonably pertinent if, even though it may not be in the same field as the applicant's endeavor, it is one that because of the issue with which it deals, would logically have commended itself to an applicant's attention in consideration of a problem similar in scope. The examiner contends that Sill teaches the known use of a coupling member along with distinct adhesive means that are fastened to two adjacent and distinct members that are to be joined via the adhesive means and coupling member. Sill specifically states in Col. 3, that sidewall panels are positioned next to each other and splicer plates are utilized having an adhesive means on each side of the panels to form joint. It is noted that Sill further states that the joint may be further strengthened by placing a plurality of convention fasteners between the sidewalls. This additional application is optional since the coupler with adhesive means may suffice. As such, Sill describes a known means of joining two adjacent structures together via a coupler and adhesive.

Continuation of 13. Other: It is noted that authorization for the required fee under 37 CFR 1.17(p) was provided as per M.P.E.P. 509.01(I)